

Public Comments: Pasadena, December 3

- Why aren't all 12 alternative maps here? There are three maps here.
- What is the solution?
- How will CALFED maintain public confidence in maintaining the ecosystem? How much has been spent to date? What for?
- Will you honor prior commitments that have been made?
- Will Southern California get the water it is owed? Will former agreements be honored?
- Is alt #1 realistic since we have to improve the way we move water?
- Do you at CALFED have any idea the breakout of urban and agricultural interests?
- Regarding implementation - could you respond in more detail?
- Will CALFED handle implementation of the plan?
- Will the public vote on adoption of what is being proposed by CALFED?
- Are there specific allocations for B(2) water?
- Request more meetings in Southern California.
- How will water supply standards be set? Monitored?
- Prop 204 funds - can they be used at a later date than when the Delta is fixed throughout the state?
- Water Quality - what are the specific differences between the Delta export and conveyance delivered?
- We haven't heard the word "peripheral canal" used. It was a functional program. It really enhanced the ability of the operator. It looked like a good solution.
- There were not enough meetings in Southern California. Rethink the way you schedule meetings. Your public outreach needs to be better, too.

- Community Conservation Planning Act of 1991 - Will CALFED impact it?
- From Ventura - Prop 204. Are you anticipating additional bond measures? When? How much?
- GSI Water - Do you list the consultants?
- Describe the connection between CALFED and CVPIA.
- O.C. - What are you doing for water recycling?
- What about salinity?
- Regarding the b(2) lawsuit going on now. What happens to the water in the meantime?
- How does isolated facility address specific problems the P.C. did not address?
- What problems does isolated facility address most effectively?
- O.C. - It is impressive what CALFED has been doing. How will CALFED sell it to the public, especially for urban areas that will not get more water?
- You are going to repair levees, bringing water through the Delta. These type efforts have failed before. It seems like the south part of the state is being held hostage with water quality to get the levees fixed.
- You have mentioned that it would cost a lot of money, and it could come to a bond. As a member of the public, if I don't think that my interests are looked after how are you going to convince the general urban public to pay for this?
- Will the BMP be mandated or voluntary?
- Your program is long term comp. plan, and doesn't provide for reliability and quality or quantity?
- Assurances is a common theme. What assurances do we have that deal is a deal and that the legislature won't change it?
- Is CALFED dealing with the East Bay and their water rights question?
- Levees - Isn't it true that the very animals who wrecked the levee are the endangered species?

- Will the levees address the problem of the people raising their levees and then their neighbors impacted?
- Prop 204 was sold because it would get more water to the south.
- Money is not there for increased water supply.
- I appreciate CALFED coming down. How has CALFED been spending money?
- Expenditures - I'd like a detailed list of what those expenditures are.
- Distinguishing characteristics: How can we assure more water?
- Are local entities a pivotal part of this? How does CALFED plan to handle the cost of transfer water, particularly federally subsidized water?
- Water efficiency program - We hear 80 percent. What about 80 percent of the water agriculture that has been notoriously used?
- I think California agriculture uses flood technology.
- From O.C. concerns about whether additional storage. What are the various options? How will water be stored during wet years? We need more storage.
- Could we bring water from Washington state?